

MOODY'S

RATINGS

Rating Action: Moody's Ratings takes rating actions on 19 French Regional and Local Governments

18 Apr 2025

Paris, April 18, 2025 -- Moody's Ratings (Moody's) has today taken rating actions on 19 French Regional and Local Governments (RLGs).

We have changed the outlooks to stable from negative and affirmed the long-term and short-term ratings and Baseline Credit Assessments (BCAs) for 12 RLGs. Concurrently, we affirmed the BCAs, long-term and short-term ratings and maintained the negative outlooks for three départements.

We downgraded the BCA to a1 from aa3 and long-term issuer rating to A1 from Aa3 for the Region Bourgogne-Franche-Comte, while also affirming its P-1 short-term issuer and commercial paper ratings and changed its outlook to stable from negative. We downgraded the BCA to a2 from a1 and long-term issuer ratings to A2 from A1 of the Departement du Loiret, affirmed its P-1 short-term issuer and commercial paper ratings and maintained the negative outlook. We also downgraded the BCA to a1 from aa3 and long-term issuer ratings to A1 from Aa3 of the Departement de l'Eure, affirmed its P-1 short-term issuer and commercial paper ratings and maintained the negative outlook.

Additionally, we upgraded the BCA to a1 from a2, affirmed the Aa3 long-term issuer and senior unsecured ratings, affirmed the P-1 short-term issuer and commercial paper ratings of Ile-de-France Mobilites (IdFM) and changed the outlook to stable from negative.

Please click on this link https://www.moody's.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_ARFTL505589 for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and identifies each affected issuer.

RATINGS RATIONALE

The impact of the recently passed 2025 Finance Law is overall negative for the RLG

sector as the central government (Government of France, Aa3 stable) implements fiscal consolidation measures. Detrimental measures include: (i) value added tax (VAT) proceeds shared with RLGs unilaterally capped by the central government to the 2024 proceeds; (ii) a levy on revenue to build a reserve fund – known as the "Dilico"; (iii) a reduction in capital grants, and; (iv) a contribution rate hike to the mandatory pension scheme for RLG civil servants. The impact of these measures on rated RLGs, as well as their capacity to absorb the subsequent shock, varies significantly. We estimate the impacts of these changes are equivalent to a loss of 1.1% of revenue for the sector.

We consequently consider that ongoing support from the central government for French RLGs has weakened, a trend which we expect to persist amid fiscal constraints at the sovereign level and repeated claims from the central government that RLGs will need to contribute to the national fiscal consolidation efforts going forward. This underpins our assessment of a weaker operating environment. That said, the operating environment remains overall supportive, including strong and effective oversight from the central government as well as a track record of ongoing support to mitigate the negative impacts of external shocks.

-- ALL RLGs, EXCEPT FOR FIVE DEPARTEMENTS

RATIONALE FOR CHANGING THE OUTLOOKS TO STABLE FROM NEGATIVE AND AFFIRMING THE RATINGS AND BCAs FOR 12 RLGs

We have changed to stable the outlooks and affirmed the ratings for Region Ile-de-France, Paris, City of, Toulouse Metropole, SYTRAL MOBILITES, Bordeaux Metropole, Caen la Mer, Communauté Urbaine, Grenoble-Alpes Metropole, Rennes Metropole, Rennes, Ville de, Reunion, Region de la, SMMAG and Tisseo Collectivites.

These RLGs are either less exposed to the central government measures or demonstrate greater resiliency to the negative impacts. In particular, the RLGs in charge of providing mobility services are only indirectly exposed to these measures through their members, with no evidence to date of material direct budgetary cuts that would result from members passing on the negative pressures.

The financial performance of these 12 RLGs is relatively solid, and we expect them to maintain operating performance and debt metrics consistent with their respective BCAs and rating levels over the near to medium term. The RLGs within this group with higher debt metrics also have exceptional operating performances, which largely covers their debt service. Those with relatively lower operating performance also have moderate debt burdens and strong debt affordability.

Their strong governance practices will help them navigate the current uncertain environment – including lingering policy uncertainty stemming from political fragility at the national level and global recession risks that are mounting on the back of tariffs and trade turmoil - as demonstrated over the past years during the COVID-19

pandemic and the 2022-23 inflation shocks.

Other credit strengths underpinning the affirmation of the ratings include a solid institutional framework, robust and diversified local economies, strong access to external financing, as well as predictable and regular cash-flows, which also support the short-term ratings.

As part of this rating action, we have also changed the support provider for our assumption of extraordinary support to the Government of France for three RLGs in charge of mobility – SMMAG, SYTRAL MOBILITES and Tisseo Collectivites – to better align with the support provider of other rated intermunicipalities. This also takes into account the consistent track record of the central government's support for these entities. The likelihood of extraordinary support is moderate, in line with other intermunicipalities we rate.

The ratings of other RLGs in this group reflect their BCA and our assumption of a moderate level of support, except for the Region Ile-de-France and City of Paris which have an assumption of high support, from the Government of France should they face liquidity stress.

RATIONALE FOR DOWNGRADING REGION BOURGOGNE-FRANCHE-COMTE'S LONG-TERM RATING AND BCA, AND FOR STABILIZING THE OUTLOOK

The downgrade of the BCA and long-term rating for Region Bourgogne-Franche-Comte reflects our forecast of a deterioration of the region's fiscal trajectory amid a weaker macro-operating environment. Bourgogne-Franche-Comte's projected operating margins for 2025-2026 are lower than our previous forecasts, and its debt burden is set to rise to a moderate level above 125% of operating revenue by 2026. In our view, the credit quality of the region is now more consistent with other regional and local governments rated one notch below the sovereign.

The outlook change to stable from negative reflects the region's very strong governance and high debt affordability which should prevent further deterioration in key metrics. We expect the region's debt burden to remain at moderate levels between 2026-2027 while supporting the regional capital investment plan.

The ratings also factor in our assessment of a moderate likelihood of extraordinary support from the Government of France in the event that Region Bourgogne-Franche-Comte faces extreme liquidity stress.

RATIONALE FOR CHANGING THE OUTLOOK TO STABLE, UPGRADING THE BCA AND AFFIRMING THE RATINGS OF ILE-DE-FRANCE MOBILITES

The upgrade of the BCA to a1 from a2 reflects, in part, our reassessment of the quality of governance, a key ESG (environmental, social, governance) consideration, given the improved visibility over financial planning. More than a year following the implementation of the multi-year financing agreement with the central government, we

have additional evidence that the new revenue sources granted are adding to sustained improvements in IdFM's operating performance. The BCA upgrade also reflects IdFM's increased willingness to use its budgetary flexibility, demonstrated by regular increases in tariffs in recent years, which we expect to continue in line with the multi-year financing agreement.

Although uncertainty remains with regards to the ongoing negotiations with Regie Autonome des Transports Parisiens (RATP, Aa3 stable) over the next multi-year contract, we consider that even under downside scenarios, the operating performance and debt metrics would remain consistent with the a1 BCA.

The ratings reflect the BCA and our assumption of a high likelihood of extraordinary support from the Government of France should IdFM face liquidity stress.

The outlook change to stable from negative reflects the absence of detrimental measures for IdFM under the 2025 Finance Law. Meanwhile, the outlook change also reflects the sustained improvements to IdFM's operating performance in 2024, which we expect to continue over the coming years. Despite the uncertain policy environment, IdFM continues to benefit from strong revenue dynamics, thanks to its multi-year agreement with the central government.

-- FIVE DEPARTEMENTS

RATIONALE FOR DOWNGRADING THE BCAs AND RATINGS OF DEPARTEMENT DE L'EURE AND LOIRET, DEPARTEMENT DU

The one notch downgrades to the BCA and long-term ratings of these two départements reflect the additional pressure the measures from the 2025 Finance Law will have on their already challenged operating performance and debt trajectories. We expect their operating performance to remain constrained over a multi-year period, which already reflects the combination of sluggish operating revenue amid declining property transfer tax proceeds since 2023 and rising social expenditures over which they have very limited flexibility. These negative trends will continue to impact their self-financing capacity and, consequently, their debt trajectories.

For Departement de l'Eure, we forecast the primary operating margin to decline gradually towards 6-7% of operating revenue by 2026, down from 13.6% in 2023. Despite a gradual slowdown in investment, we forecast its net direct and indirect debt to increase steadily to around 85% of operating revenue by 2026, compared to 65.1% in 2023. Despite Departement de l'Eure's strong track record of managing expenditure, and prudence built into forecasts, its room for maneuver is limited, given its rigid budget structure and already low level of operating expenditure per inhabitant.

Departement du Loiret is facing a detrimental combination of a material weakening in its operating performance and a rapid increase in its debt burden. We thus expect its

primary operating balance to gradually decrease to around 5% of operating revenues by 2026, from 13.5% at the end of 2023. At the same time, its net direct and indirect debt will exceed 90% of operating revenues at the end of 2026, rising from a moderate level of 67.6% in 2023. While Département du Loiret has been adjusting its 2025 budget, including lowering its capital expenditures, its budgetary flexibility is largely constrained given already low level of operating expenditure per inhabitant.

Consequently, Département du Loiret's operating margin could soon become insufficient to cover debt service, which would breach a key financial requirement.

RATIONALE FOR AFFIRMING THE RATINGS OF DEPARTEMENT DE SEINE-ET-MARNE, DEPARTEMENT DE LA SEINE MARITIME AND DEPARTEMENT DE LA MEUSE

The affirmations of the BCAs and ratings reflect the capacity of these three départements to maintain solid operating margins and contain the upward pressure in their debt burdens after years of debt deleveraging.

Moreover, their strong governance and financial management practices will help them navigate the current uncertain environment – including lingering policy uncertainty stemming from political instability at the national level and global recession risks that are mounting on the back of tariffs and trade turmoil –, as demonstrated over the past years during the COVID-19 pandemic and the 2022-23 inflation shocks. This includes their sound debt and liquidity management and very strong access to external financing. Lastly, these départements retain some flexibility to adjust expenditures.

The ratings of all five départements reflect their BCAs and our assumption of a moderate level of support from the Government of France should they face liquidity stress.

RATIONALE FOR MAINTAINING THE NEGATIVE OUTLOOKS FOR FIVE DEPARTEMENTS

The negative outlooks reflect downside risks to the operating performance of départements over the coming years, exacerbated by the challenges stemming from their inherently rigid budget structure. These risks to the financial trajectory are associated with (i) the difficulty in predicting the trajectory of property transfer tax proceeds given rising macro-economic uncertainties, (ii) continued pressures on social expenditure and (iii) uncertainty over the central government's stance on limiting the resulting negative pressures. A combination of these elements could materially weaken the operating performance of these rated départements compared to our current expectations, and constrain their capacity to maintain sound operating margins and control debt.

ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL AND GOVERNANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Excluding Region de la Reunion, ESG considerations are not material (CIS-2) for

RLGs. ESG considerations have a moderate impact (CIS-3) for Region de la Reunion.

Environmental risks are muted for most RLGs (E-2). Environmental risks are moderate (E-3) for Departement du Loiret, Departement de Seine-et-Marne, Departement de la Meuse, City of Paris and Region de la Reunion, reflecting exposure to physical climate risks such as flooding or heat stress.

Social considerations are muted for most RLGs (S-2). Social risks are moderate for départements (S-3), which reflects the importance of social spending in their budgets. Moderate social risks for Region Bourgogne-Franche-Comte (S-3) reflect the long-term challenge of an ageing population. For Region de la Reunion, moderate social risks (S-3) stem from high unemployment and poverty rates, as well as population outflows that have a negative effect on human capital.

Most RLGs benefit from very strong governance (G-1), characterized by strong budgetary practices and planning, budgetary flexibility and financial management. For Tisseo Collectivites, the G-2 governance issuer profile score (IPS) balances a complex debt structure and a high cost of debt, reflecting legacy transactions, with otherwise strong governance practices. For Region de la Reunion, G-2 governance IPS factors in the delays and cost overrun in its sea viaduct project that weigh on the quality of planning, while the region otherwise demonstrates high standards of financial management.

ECONOMIC DATA

The specific economic indicators, as required by EU regulation, are not available for Bordeaux Metropole; Caen la Mer, Communauté Urbaine; Departement de la Seine Maritime; Departement de l'Eure; Grenoble-Alpes Metropole; Ile-de-France, Region; Loiret, Departement du; Meuse, Departement de la; Region Bourgogne-Franche-Comte; Rennes Metropole; Rennes, Ville de; Reunion, Region de la; Seine-et-Marne, Departement de; SMMAG; SYTRAL MOBILITES; Tisseo Collectivites; Ile-de-France Mobilites; Toulouse Metropole; Paris, City of. The following national economic indicators are relevant to the sovereign rating, which was used as an input to this credit rating action.

Sovereign Issuer: France, Government of

GDP per capita (PPP basis, US\$): 63,881 (2023) (also known as Per Capita Income)

Real GDP growth (% change): 0.9% (2023) (also known as GDP Growth)

Inflation Rate (CPI, % change Dec/Dec): 4.1% (2023)

Gen. Gov. Financial Balance/GDP: -5.5% (2023) (also known as Fiscal Balance)

Current Account Balance/GDP: -1% (2023) (also known as External Balance)

External debt/GDP: [not available]

Economic resiliency: aa3

Default history: No default events (on bonds or loans) have been recorded since 1983.

SUMMARY OF MINUTES FROM RATING COMMITTEE

On 15 April 2025, a rating committee was called to discuss the rating of Bordeaux Metropole; Caen la Mer, Communauté Urbaine; Département de la Seine Maritime; Département de l'Eure; Grenoble-Alpes Metropole; Ile-de-France, Region; Loiret, Département du; Meuse, Département de la; Region Bourgogne-Franche-Comte; Rennes Metropole; Rennes, Ville de; Reunion, Region de la; Seine-et-Marne, Département de; SMMAG; SYTRAL MOBILITES; Tisseo Collectivites; Ile-de-France Mobilites; Toulouse Metropole; Paris, City of. The main points raised during the discussion were: The issuer's economic fundamentals, including its economic strength, have not materially changed. The issuer's institutions and governance strength, have not materially changed. The issuer's governance and/or management, have not materially changed. The issuer's fiscal or financial strength, including its debt profile, has not materially changed. The systemic risk in which the issuer operates has materially decreased.

FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE OR DOWNGRADE OF THE RATINGS

WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN FOR RLGs RATED Aa3, EXCEPT FOR DEPARTEMENTS

Given that these issuers are rated on par with the sovereign, an upgrade would at least require an upgrade of the sovereign rating.

One or a combination of the following could lead to a downgrade: (1) lower operating margins for a prolonged period compared with our current expectations; (2) a materially and permanently higher debt burden compared with our current forecasts, and; (3) a significant weakening in liquidity position or market access. Moreover, a downgrade of the sovereign bond rating would have negative implications for the rating.

WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN FOR RLGs RATED BELOW THE SOVEREIGN, EXCEPT FOR DEPARTEMENTS

One or a combination of the following could lead to an upgrade: (1) a higher than currently expected operating performance, and (2) a lower than currently expected debt burden.

One or a combination of the following could lead to a downgrade: (1) a prolonged

period of lower operating margin, compared to our current expectations; (2) a materially and permanently higher debt burden compared to our current forecasts and (3) a material weakening in liquidity position or market access. A downgrade of the sovereign bond rating would most likely have negative implications for the ratings.

WHAT COULD MOVE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN FOR FIVE DÉPARTEMENTS

Given the negative outlooks, rating upgrades are unlikely. We would however return the outlooks to stable in the event of a consolidation of operating performance and debt metrics, thanks to their ability to adjust and navigate the current uncertain environment or thanks to additional supporting measures from the central government.

We would likely downgrade the ratings if these départements were not able to consolidate their operating performance and debt metrics, for instance due to additional detrimental measures from the central government or their inability to make adjustment.

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Regional and Local Governments published in May 2024 and available at <https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/421891>. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on <https://ratings.moodys.com> for a copy of this methodology.

The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this credit rating action, if applicable.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The List of Affected Credit Ratings announced here are all solicited credit ratings. For additional information, please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website <https://ratings.moodys.com>. Additionally, the List of Affected Credit Ratings includes additional disclosures that vary with regard to some of the ratings. Please click on this link https://www.moodys.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_ARFTL505589 for the List of Affected Credit Ratings. This list is an integral part of this Press Release and provides, for each of the credit ratings covered, Moody's disclosures on the following items:

- EU Endorsement Status
- UK Endorsement Status
- Rating Solicitation
- Issuer Participation
- Participation: Access to Management

- Participation: Access to Internal Documents
- Lead Analyst
- Releasing Office
- Person Approving the Credit Rating

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found on <https://ratings.moodys.com/rating-definitions>.

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support/credit substitution from another entity or entities subject to a credit rating action (the supporting entity), and whose ratings may change as a result of a credit rating action as to the supporting entity, the associated regulatory disclosures will relate to the supporting entity. Exceptions to this approach may be applicable in certain jurisdictions.

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security, certain regulatory disclosures applicable to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, or security, or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings, in accordance with Moody's rating practices, can be found in the most recent Credit Rating Announcement related to the same class of Credit Rating.

For provisional ratings, the Credit Rating Announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating.

Moody's does not always publish a separate Credit Rating Announcement for each Credit Rating assigned in the Anticipated Ratings Process or Subsequent Ratings Process.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

At least one ESG consideration was material to the credit rating action(s) announced and described above.

Moody's general principles for assessing environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks in our credit analysis can be found at <https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/435880>.

The below contact information is provided for information purposes only. For disclosures on the lead rating analyst and the Moody's legal entity that issued the rating, please see the issuer/deal page on <https://ratings.moodys.com> for each of the ratings covered.

Please see <https://ratings.moodys.com> for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the issuer/deal page on <https://ratings.moodys.com> for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Matthieu Collette
Vice President - Senior Analyst

Marie Diron
MD-Global Sovereign Risk

Releasing Office:
Moody's France SAS
21 Boulevard Haussmann
Paris, 75009
France
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

© 2025 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED OR OTHERWISE MADE AVAILABLE BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "MATERIALS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT

RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS (“ASSESSMENTS”), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY’S MATERIALS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY’S MATERIALS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY’S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND MATERIALS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY’S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES OR OTHERWISE MAKES AVAILABLE ITS MATERIALS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR MATERIALS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY’S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. FOR CLARITY, NO INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY BE USED TO DEVELOP, IMPROVE, TRAIN OR RETRAIN ANY SOFTWARE PROGRAM OR DATABASE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, FOR ANY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING OR NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING SOFTWARE, ALGORITHM, METHODOLOGY AND/OR MODEL.

MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND

MATERIALS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the credit rating process or in preparing its Materials.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper)

and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it. MCO and all MCO entities that issue ratings under the "Moody's Ratings" brand name ("Moody's Ratings"), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Ratings' credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at ir.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Charter and Governance Documents - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Moody's SF Japan K.K., Moody's Local AR Agente de Calificación de Riesgo S.A., Moody's Local BR Agência de Classificação de Risco LTDA, Moody's Local MX S.A. de C.V, I.C.V., Moody's Local PE Clasificadora de Riesgo S.A., and Moody's Local PA Clasificadora de Riesgo S.A. (collectively, the "Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs") are all indirectly wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiaries of MCO. None of the Moody's Non-NRSRO CRAs is a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization.

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for India only: Moody's credit ratings, Assessments, other opinions and Materials are not intended to be and shall not be relied upon or used by any users located in India in relation to securities listed or proposed to be listed on Indian stock exchanges.

Additional terms with respect to Second Party Opinions and Net Zero Assessments (as defined in Moody's Ratings Rating Symbols and Definitions): Please note that neither a Second Party Opinion ("SPO") nor a Net Zero Assessment ("NZA") is a "credit rating". The issuance of SPOs and NZAs is not a regulated activity in many jurisdictions, including Singapore. JAPAN: In Japan, development and provision of SPOs and NZAs fall under the category of "Ancillary Businesses", not "Credit Rating

Business”, and are not subject to the regulations applicable to “Credit Rating Business” under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and its relevant regulation. PRC: Any SPO: (1) does not constitute a PRC Green Bond Assessment as defined under any relevant PRC laws or regulations; (2) cannot be included in any registration statement, offering circular, prospectus or any other documents submitted to the PRC regulatory authorities or otherwise used to satisfy any PRC regulatory disclosure requirement; and (3) cannot be used within the PRC for any regulatory purpose or for any other purpose which is not permitted under relevant PRC laws or regulations. For the purposes of this disclaimer, “PRC” refers to the mainland of the People’s Republic of China, excluding Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan.